



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/506,631

04/11/2005

Seiichi Araki

T0509.70011US00

5167

23628 7590 07/11/2008
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
600 ATLANTIC AVENUE
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206

EXAMINER

HUGHES, ALICIA R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1614

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/11/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/506,631	Applicant(s) ARAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner ALICIA R. HUGHES	Art Unit 1614	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 15-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 15-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims and Examination

Claims 15-19 are pending and the subject of this Office Action. Applicants cancelled claims 1-12 and 14 in the response filed on 10 April 2007.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission filed on 13 November 2006 has been entered.

Applicants' arguments filed on 10 April 2007 have been fully considered and are, in part, deemed to be persuasive regarding the previous rejection. Rejections not reiterated from this Office's previous action are hereby withdrawn. The rejections set forth herein constitute the complete set of rejections being applied to the instant application presently.

Response to Applicants' Arguments

Applicants argue that with regard to this Office's rejections made under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a): (1) the cited reference does not, in text or in diagram, teach or even suggest that riboflavin prevents increased cytokine production via the glutathione production pathway; and (2) the cited reference does not teach or suggest any relationship between riboflavin and cytokines. Examiner finds Applicants' responses wholly unpersuasive on both points based on the disclosures in

Art Unit: 1614

Grimble, RF, "Effect of Antioxidative Vitamins on Immune Function with Clinical Applications. International J. Vitam. Nutr. Res., Vol. 67, No. 5, pages 312-320 (1997)[hereinafter referred to as "Grimble, et al"]¹ coupled with the state of the art at the time that the invention was disclosed. *Please see generally*, Grimble, Robert, "Modification of Inflammatory Aspects of Immune Function by Nutrients," Nutrition Research, Vol. 18, No. 7, pages 1297-1317 (1998)[hereinafter referred to as "Gimble II"].

At the time the present invention was disclosed, it was well-known in the art that there is a direct correlation between the functionality of balanced cytokine production when reacting to an inflammatory response and the activity of the glutathione production pathway to limit the creation of excessive cytokines (See Grimble II, page 1308, latter portion of Conclusion paragraph 3).² It has been known for quite some time that "[c]ytokines play a crucial role as modulatory agents by which the activity of the system is changed and metabolic activity of the host directed towards provision of nutrients for the system from endogenous sources. Nutrient intake, prior to infection will influence the extent of endogenous nutrient provision." *Id.* Glutathione is defined as a "major endogenous antioxidant," and "[v]itamin B₆ and riboflavin participate in the maintenance of glutathione status" (See Abstract). Thus, endogenous nutrient provision, i.e. glutathione production, controls hyperactivity of cytokines, or hypercytokinemia, and "[v]itamin B₆ and riboflavin participate in the maintenance of glutathione status" (See Abstract).

¹ Previously cited on PTO-892 of 24 March 2006.

² The high priority given to combating pathogens is necessary because of the speed with which pathogens multiply once established within the host. In general terms, bacterial cells multiply at least 50 times as rapidly as T cells under favorable conditions. Thus, the provision of nutrients to allow the immune system to function correctly cannot be left to chance.

In short, “[d]eficiencies in vitamins E, B₆ and riboflavin reduce cell numbers in lymphoid tissues of experimental animals and produce functional abnormalities in the cell mediated immune response.” *Id.* Thus, where there is a deficiency in riboflavin, endogenous nutrient provision provided by glutathione will lack, thereby creating a heightened immune/inflammatory response that wields the over- or hyper-production of cytokines. Therefore, if a deficiency in riboflavin contributes to a heightened inflammatory response then it logically flows mechanistically that the presence of riboflavin has an inverse relationship with cytokine production as an immune response. It is the establishment of this relationship that makes the prior art rejection in Grimbale, et al applicable to the instant invention.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the “right to exclude” granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 1614

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 15-19 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10/472,621. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The arguments proffered in this Office's action of 27 August 2007 are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety and the rejection is sustained for the reasons previously made of record.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been

Art Unit: 1614

obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15 - 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grimble, et al as evidenced by Grimble II.

Applicant argues that the Grimble references merely teach glutathione as a major endogenous antioxidant and that riboflavin and vitamin B6 merely participate in glutathione status, but there is no direct correlation between glutathione or its production pathway, riboflavin and cytokine production and no teaching in the Grimble references that riboflavin prevents increased cytokine production.

The teachings of Grimble et al and Grimble II previously made of record are incorporated herein by reference in total. This Office's previous teachings of Grimble, et al and Grimble II in the Office Action of 27 August 2007 are also incorporated herein by reference, in total.

In view of the foregoing, the rejection is sustained.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1614

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-6026. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, can be reached at 571-272-0718. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Public PAIR only. For information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct-uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alicia R. Hughes/
Examiner, Art Unit 1614

/Raymond J Henley III/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614